

April 22, 1920

Mr. C. W. Sorensen, Secretary,
The Cedar Creek High Water Irrigation Co.,
Mt. Pleasant, Utah.

Dear Sir:

Your card of the 21st inst., relative to the appointment of a water commissioner to distribute the waters of Twin and Cedar Creeks, received.

In reply you are advised that to date we have not come to any definite decision as to whether a water commissioner should be appointed on said creeks or not. You are probably aware that the Twin Creek Irrigation Company also asked for the appointment of a water commissioner and that the Mountain Tunnel Irrigation Company pay the expenses of such commissioner. Protests in favor of and against the appointment of such commissioner are still being submitted to this office. While you are not directly involved in this controversy you are nevertheless an appropriator from the same stream, and should the State Engineer decide to appoint a water commissioner on the plea of the Twin Creek Irrigation Company the same commissioner would also distribute the water of Cedar Creek.

As soon as the Twin Creek Irrigation Company and the Mountain Tunnel Irrigation Company are through submitting affidavits in support and rebuttal to the appointment of a water commissioner we will then take the matter up for final decision and you will then be advised as to the State Engineer's decision.

Very truly yours,

CJU/M

State Engineer.

By

Deputy.